

## Prof. P.V. Sukhatme Gold Medal Award

### Eligibility and Selection Procedure:

1. This award shall be made to a distinguished scientist who has made significant contributions as evidenced by publications in scientific journals of repute, review articles, books, monographs, book chapters, special reports, products/ methodologies/ techniques developed, popular articles, teaching and knowledge dissemination, scientific leadership in Agricultural Statistics and related fields, Innovative teaching and methodology developed, peer-recognition in the field of specialization, Awards and honours received, etc.. The award is open to the members of the Society.
2. Selection will be made on the basis of nominations received from the members of the Society in a prescribed proforma. The scoring criterion is given below. The nominations should reach the Society office latest by August 31 of the year of the Award. The minimum qualifying marks should be 65 for this award.
3. The Council will appoint a sub-committee, which will go through the nominations received and submit the recommendations to the Council. The Council will discuss the proposal of the sub-committee and take decision.
4. The award consists of a gold plated silver medal and citation. The distinguished scientist receiving the award shall be honoured in the inaugural function during the Annual Conference of the Society.
5. Only one award may be given once in two years.

### Criteria of weightage for Prof. P.V. Sukhatme Gold Medal Award

#### 1. Research Publications:

(Maximum 50 marks)

##### a. Evaluation of 20 best publications (Maximum 40 marks)

(The papers will be scored as per List of Journals identified by the NAAS 2015/ISAS and their assigned weightage as available on NAAS web site <http://www.naasindia.org> or [www.isas.org.in](http://www.isas.org.in) and then the total score obtained would be divided by 5)

##### b. For evaluation of publications other than those given in (a) above 25 best in International and National Journals of Repute, the publications should be arranged in the following two categories:

(i) \*Publications in journals having NAAS/ ISAS weightage 5 or more than 5\*:- 0.2 mark for each publication upto a maximum of 25 publications. (Maximum 5 marks)

(ii) Publications in journals having NAAS/ ISAS weightage less than 5:- 0.1 mark for each publication upto a maximum of 50 publications including those left over from (i) above.

(Maximum 5 marks)

\* If the number of publications in category (i) exceeds 25, then these could be evaluated along with those in (ii) with 0.1 mark for each publication.

#### 2. Process and Concept Development

(Maximum 30 marks)

##### Group I (Maximum 10 marks)

(a) Concept: Such as propounding a new theory or describe pathways and mechanisms of a process

(b) Process : Development and description of steps/components of a procedure which explain the total method of analysis

##### Group II

(Maximum 10 marks)

(c) Technique: Development of a new technique

(d) Technologies: Research findings that become useable among researchers for conduct of experiments/ surveys, analysis of various data sets

### **Group III**

(Maximum 10 marks)

- (e) Others: Books, only authored books with at least 100 pages published by reputed publishers. Special reports, Scientific leadership positions in national and international institutions and association with national/international professional societies such as office bearers etc.

#### *3. Awards and Honours*

(Maximum 10 marks)

#### *4. Weightage for Innovative Teaching*

(Maximum 10 marks)

Innovative Teaching implies contributions towards Teaching and Curriculum Development Methods. The contribution of teaching/education may be assessed from the awards/prizes won on teaching such as Best Teacher Award etc. and number of M.Sc./ Ph.D. students guided. Regarding Curriculum Development Methods, the evaluation may be done on the basis of development of newer teaching techniques and preparation of teaching manual/materials. This also includes the development of practical exercises based on real life situations with description of the output generated along with the detailed interpretation or inferences drawn from the results obtained.

\*Executive Council Members during their tenure will not be considered for any of the ISAS Awards. Further, none of the Executive Council Members will recommend anybody for award and also will not be member of any committee for such awards.