A New Approach to the Estimation of Variance of Sample Heritability from Full-Sib Analysis

V.T. Prabhakaran and A.R. Rao Indian Agricultural Statistics Research Institute, New Delhi (Received : June 2007)

SUMMARY

Heritability (h²) is an important genetic parameter, useful to plant and animal breeders. Precise estimation of this parameter is vital for deciding the breeding strategy for improving the characteristics of the population. In this paper, an expression for the approximate variance of heritability estimate based simultaneously on sire and dam components (h_{S+D}^2) of full-sib analysis is derived. The estimates obtained from this expression under different family structures are compared with those obtained from bootstrap method. A comparison is also made between sire component estimate (h_s^2) and h_{S+D}^2 for their variances. In the light of the results the bootstrap method is recommended for computing the variances h_s^2 and h_{S+D}^2 . It is also shown that h_{S+D}^2 is more precise than h_s^2 when the trait is highly heritable.

Key words: Heritability, Mating design, Bootstrap procedure.

1. INTRODUCTION

The characters of economic importance, in plants and animals, which are generally controlled by polygenes, show continuous variation in the phenotypic values of the individuals in the population. The heritability (h^2) , in the narrow sense, measures the fraction of phenotypic variance that can be attributed to the additive genetic effects of genes controlling such characters. Knowledge of this parameter is of vital importance to the breeders because it is through the manipulation of the additive genetic variability the characteristics of the population are improved. Mainly there are two approaches available for the estimation of h²: one based on the intra-sire regression of offspring on parent and the other based on half-sib correlation (Falconer 1989). The estimate in the former case is generally obtained by pooling the regression within sire groups in a weighted average and in the latter, by making use of intra-class correlation between paternal and maternal half-sibs. Under full-sib mating design, the estimate heritability is a function of either or both of the two components (i) intra-class correlation between paternal half-sibs, and (ii) intra-class correlation between maternal half-sibs. The estimates of h^2 obtained from these components are popularly known as sire component heritability, dam component heritability and sire-plus-dam components heritability (when both components are used), denoted by h_8^2 , h_D^2 and h_{S+D}^2 respectively.

Fisher (1950) gives the formula for the standard error of intra-class correlation coefficient for large samples size. Osborne and Patterson (1952) derive the formula for standard errors, both with single and double classifications. Sastry (1956) has given the expression for approximate variance of h_{S+D}^2 while Robertson (1959) obtained closer approximations to the variances of estimates of both paternal and maternal half-sib intraclass correlations, assuming additive gene action. The expressions given by these workers are quite complicated and not amenable for easy computation of variance of h² and are also restrictive because of the assumption of additive model, which may not be the case. Thus, there is a case for the development of a computer intensive procedure to work out the variances with a satisfactory level of accuracy and precision.

Aastveit (1990) suggests the use of bootstrap technique for the estimation of the standard deviation of variance and covariance components. Following this approach, Ansari *et al.* (1999) obtained approximate variances of h^2 estimates under several full-sib family structures and utilized these in deciding the best mating design for heritability estimation. This investigation focused on the determination of optimum sample size, and structure for half-sib and full-sib mating designs. The design giving least variances of the character under study under optimum structures (i.e. for the number of offspring per sire is around $4/h^2$) was adjudged superior to other designs.

It can be noted that the sampling variance of heritability estimates and the probability of inadmissible estimates (i.e., those falling outside the prescribed limits, 0 and 1) are inter-linked, as a larger variance, will increase the chance of obtaining inadmissible estimates of heritability. Prabhakaran and Jain (1988) discussed the merits of various mating designs from the point of view of the probability of obtaining inadmissible estimates. They observed that under full-sib mating design h_s^2 is more precise than h_{s+D}^2 if the population value of heritability is < 0.25 and vice-versa; at $h^2 = 0.25$ both being equally precise. An explanation for this is as follows. Since, h_{S+D}^2 is the simple average of h_S^2 and $h_{\rm D}^2$, in view of the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, it can be concluded that h_{S+D}^2 will either lie between the two direct estimates or assume a value less than h_s^2 . The possibility of h_{S+D}^2 (also called the combined estimate) taking a smaller value relative to h_s^2 is understandable because after all the variance expressions depend on, the sire, dam and progeny numbers as well as population heritability and there could be some combinations of these parameters for which, $h_{S+D}^2 < h_S^2$.

The above discussion clearly shows the importance of h_{S+D}^2 and its variance in relation to highly heritable traits ($h^2 > 0.25$), for which h_s^2 is not quite reliable. However, no reliable expression of variance of the combined estimate has been reported in the literature. The suitability of bootstrap procedure for computing the approximate variance of the combined estimate has to be decided based on its performance vis-a-vis a standard technique. Hence, the main objectives of this paper are (i) to derive the expression for the variance of the combined estimate, and having obtained this, (ii) to compare variances of heritability estimates obtained from bootstrap procedure with those based on a standard procedure, called the delta technique explained below, and (iii) to compare h_s^2 and h_{s+D}^2 for their precision under various sample sizes and family structures.

2. THE DELTA TECHNIQUE

Suppose $X_1, X_2, ..., X_k$ be a set of random variables with known variances and covariances

$$E(X_i) = \xi_i$$
$$V(X_i) = V_{ii}$$
$$ov(X_i, X_j) = V_{ji}$$

С

We wish to find an approximate expression for the variance of some function of the X_i 's, say, Y = f(X). To do this we expand f (X) in Tailor series about, $X = \xi$.

$$Y = f(\xi) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (X - \xi) \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i} |_{X = \xi} + \text{higher terms}$$

Here, $E(Y) \cong f(\xi)$ and

$$V(Y) = E[Y - E(Y)]^{2} \cong \sum_{i,j=1}^{k} V_{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_{i}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_{j}} \bigg|_{X = \xi}$$

This approximate expression for V(Y) is useful in many contexts. It can be generalized in an obvious way for finding the covariance of two functions of the X_i's namely $Y_1 = f_1(X)$ and $Y_2 = f_2(X)$ as

$$Cov(Y_1, Y_2) = \sum_{i,j}^{k} V_{ij} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial X_i} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial X_j}$$

3. METHOD OF COMPUTATION OF h² FROM FULL-SIB ANALYSIS

There are quite a few species such as poultry, where the data confirm to a two-way nested structure. Here, each of a set of sires is mated to a set of dams chosen at random from the female population and each mating produces several progeny, which are measured to generate data. The model for the measurement y_{ijk} , on k^{th} progeny of j^{th} dam mated to the i^{th} sire can be written as

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + s_i + d_{ij} + e_{ijk} \tag{1}$$

where μ is the general mean, s_i the effect of ith sire (i = 1, 2, ..., s), d_{ij} the effect of the jth dam (j = 1, 2, ..., d) mated to the ith sire, and e_{ijk} the error (k = 1, 2, ..., n). Let us further assume that all the effects are randomly and independently distributed with the expectations

$$E(s_i) = E(d_{ij}) = E(e_{ijk}) = 0$$

and variances

$$E(s_i^2) = \sigma_s^2, E(d_{ij}^2) = \sigma_d^2 \text{ and } E(e_{ijk}^2) = \sigma_w^2$$

Under these assumptions, the analysis of variance, as per the linear model of Eq. (1) is as shown in Table 1. The expectations of mean squares given in the table are based on the additive genetic model, which implies that the paternal (t₁) and maternal (t₂) intra-class correlations are equal (t), i.e., $t_1 = t_2 = t$. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) estimates of the observational components of the total phenotypic variance (σ_p^2), namely σ_s^2 , σ_d^2 , σ_w^2 denoted by, $\hat{\sigma}_s^2$, $\hat{\sigma}_d^2$, $\hat{\sigma}_w^2$ respectively can be obtained by equating the mean square components with their corresponding expectations. Using these, the estimate of the intra-class correlation between paternal half-sibs is given by Ansari (1999) as

$$t_1 = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_s^2}{\hat{\sigma}_s^2 + \hat{\sigma}_d^2 + \hat{\sigma}_w^2} = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{M_1 + (d-1)M_2 + d(n-1)M_3}$$
(2)

and, for maternal half-sibs, it is

L

$$t_{2} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{d}^{2}}{\hat{\sigma}_{s}^{2} + \hat{\sigma}_{d}^{2} + \hat{\sigma}_{w}^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{d(M_{2} - M_{3})}{M_{1} + (d - 1)M_{2} + d(n - 1)M_{3}}$$
(3)

| Table 1. Anal | Table 1. Analysis of Variance for full-sib data | | | | | |
|---------------|---|----|-------|--|--|--|
| Source | d.f. | MS | E(MS) | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Between sires | (s – 1) | M ₁ | $[1+(nd+n-2)t]\sigma_p^2$ |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----------------|---------------------------|
| Between dams/sires | s(d – 1) | M ₂ | $[1+(n-2)t]\sigma_p^2$ |
| Between progenies/ dams /sires | sd(n−1) | M ₃ | $[1-2t]\sigma_p^2$ |
| | | | |

Using t_1 and t_2 , three estimates of heritability can be obtained as

Expressions for variances of h_S^2 and h_D^2 as given by Robertson (1959) are as under

$$\begin{split} V(h_S^2) &= 32\{(1-t)^2X + [1+(d-1)\ t]^2\ Y + (n-1)^2t^2Z\} \end{split} \label{eq:V} \tag{5} \\ V(h_D^2) &= 32\{t^2X + [d-(d-1)t]^2\ Y + [1+(n-1)\ t]^2Z\} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X = [1 + (nd + n - 2)t]^{2} [n^{2}d^{2}(s - 1)]^{-1}$$
$$Y = [1 + (n - 2)t]^{2} [n^{2}d^{2}s(d - 1)]^{-1}$$
$$Z = [1 - 2t]^{2} [n^{2}sd(n - 1)]^{-1}$$

4. DERIVATION OF THE VARIANCE OF h_{S+D}^2

The sire and dam combined estimate of h^2 , using Eqs. (2) and (3), can be written as

$$h_{S+D}^{2} = \frac{2[(M_{1} + (d-1)M_{2} - dM_{3})]}{M_{1} + (d-1)M_{2} + d(n-1)M_{3}}$$
(7)

The variance of h_{S+D}^2 , using delta-technique, is

$$V(h_{S+D}^{2}) = \left(\frac{\partial h_{S+D}^{2}}{\partial M_{1}}\right)_{M_{1}=E(M_{1})}^{2} \sigma_{M_{1}}^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial h_{S+D}^{2}}{\partial M_{2}}\right)_{M_{2}=E(M_{2})}^{2} \sigma_{M_{2}}^{2} + \left(\frac{\partial h_{S+D}^{2}}{\partial M_{3}}\right)_{M_{3}=E(M_{3})}^{2} \sigma_{M_{3}}^{2}$$

$$(8)$$

where $\sigma_{M_1}^2$, $\sigma_{M_2}^2$ and $\sigma_{M_3}^2$ are the variances of M_1 , M_2 and M_3 respectively. This gives

$$V(h_{S+D}^2) = \frac{4n^2d^2}{G^4} \begin{bmatrix} M_3^2\sigma_{M_1}^2 + (d-1)^2M_3^2\sigma_{M_2}^2 \\ + (M_1 + \overline{d-1}M_2)^2\sigma_{M_3}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(9)

in which $G = M_1 + (d - 1)M_2 + (n - 1)dM_3$ and M_1 , M_2 and M_3 are to be replaced by their expectations.

Noting that

$$\begin{split} (s-1)M_1 &\sim \chi^2_{s-1}.[1+(nd+n-2)t]\sigma_p^2 \\ s(d-1)M_2 &\sim \chi^2_{s(d-1)}.[1+(n-2)t]\sigma_p^2 \\ sd(n-1)M_3 &\sim \chi^2_{sd(n-1)}\cdot(1-2t)\sigma_p^2 \end{split}$$

we find

$$\sigma_{M_1}^2 = 2[1 + (nd + n - 2)t]^2 \sigma_p^4 / (s - 1)$$

$$\sigma_{M_2}^2 = 2[1 + (n - 2)t]^2 \sigma_p^4 / s(d - 1)$$

$$\sigma_{M_3}^2 = 2(1 - 2t)^2 \sigma_p^4 / sd(n - 1)$$

Accordingly, Eq. (9), reduces to

$$V(h_{S+D}^{2}) = 8 [(1-2t)^{2}X + [(1-2t)]^{2}(d-1)^{2}Y + \{1+2(n-1)t\}^{2}Z]$$
(10)

where X, Y, Z are as defined earlier

Replacing t by $h^2/4$ and simplifying, the variance can be expressed as

$$V(h_{S+D}^2) = 2 [(2-h^2)^2 X + (2-h^2)^2 (d-1)^2 Y + \{2+(n-1)h^2\}^2 Z]$$
(11)

where

$$X = [4 + (nd + n - 2)h^{2}]^{2} [16n^{2}d^{2}(s - 1)]^{-1}$$
$$Y = [4 + (n - 2)h^{2}]^{2} [16n^{2}d^{2}s(d - 1)]^{-1}$$
$$Z = [2 - h^{2}]^{2} [4n^{2}sd(n - 1)]^{-1}$$

and this is an expression of variance of h_{S+D}^2 in terms of h^2 .

5. BOOTSTRAP PROCEDURE FOR THE ESTIMATION OF VARIANCE OF HERITABILITY

The bootstrapping, as explained at the end of this section, is executed on simulated data (master samples). Since the variances are to be estimated for different fullsib family structures and population heritability levels, master samples with these attributes are generated. The simulation procedure (Ronningen 1974) followed for the full-sib case is based on the model

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \sigma_s s'_i + \sigma_d d'_{ij} + \sigma_e e'_{ij}$$
(12)

where s'_i , d'_{ij} and e'_{ij} are the standard normal variates obtained from the Box-Muller transformation (Kennedy and Gentle 1980). The values of s_s , s_d and s_e are so chosen and substituted in (12) as to generate data, for different sire(s) and dam(d) numbers, and three different levels of population heritability (0.10, 0.25 and 0.50), assuming additive gene action ($s^2_s = s^2_d$). Further, we assume n progenies are resulting from a mating of a sire and a dam.

For a particular level of population h^2 , consider a full-sib mating design with s sires, d dams and suppose that each mating produces n offspring. Bootstrapping is applied both at sire and dam levels. First s sires are selected at random from s sires by Simple Random Sampling With Replacement by a sequence of random numbers by giving a 'seed' value and then the d dams mated to the selected ith sire are sampled from the d dams in the same fashion. Then all the progenies attached to the (i, j)th sire-dam combination are considered for estimation of heritability. An estimate of heritability from thus selected sdn observations forms one bootstrap replication (sample). Repeating this method of sire and dam resampling, a total of N (100, 200, 500) bootstrap samples are drawn. The generation of bootstrap samples was carried out for several combinations of s (= 4, 8, 10, 16, 20, 25, 40, 50), d (= 10, 20, 25), n (= 2) and true h^2 (0.10, 0.25, 0.50). The computation of estimate of variance of bootstrap heritability estimate was carried out as follows.

For any specified (h², s, d and n) combination, let \hat{h}^2 be the estimate of h² from the corresponding master sample and $\hat{h}^{2^*}(1)$, $\hat{h}^{2^*}(2)$, \Box , $\hat{h}^{2^*}(N)$ be the estimates from N bootstrap samples. A bootstrap estimator of h² is then defined as $\hat{h}^{2^*}(.) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{h}^{2^*}(i)\right] / N$ with bias, $\hat{\beta}_{\hat{h}^2} = \hat{h}^{2^*}(.) - \hat{h}^2$.

From these, the bootstrap estimate of sample variance is determined as

$$\hat{V}_{h^{2}(BOOT)}^{*} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [\hat{h}^{2^{*}}(i) - \hat{h}^{2^{*}}(.)]^{2}$$
(13)

6. PERFORMANCE OF THE BOOTSTRAP METHOD VIS-A-VIS DELTA TECHNIQUE

The variance estimates for h_S^2 and h_{S+D}^2 obtained by adopting bootstrap and delta techniques under different sample sizes and family structures, for different population heritability levels are given in Table 2. These values also help in knowing the behaviour of the estimates, when the number of bootstrap replications increases from 100 to 500. The most encouraging result emerging from the present study is that the bootstrap estimates of h^2 are very close to the approximate theoretical variance determined from the delta technique and this trend is all the more visible when the trait is highly heritable ($h^2 > 0.25$). This shows that the bootstrap technique can be safely and advantageously used in the computation

of approximate variance of h_S^2 and h_{S+D}^2 .

The results have also provided sufficient evidence

to resolve the conjecture, h_{S+D}^2 is more precise than h_S^2 when the trait involved is highly heritable. From Table 2 it can be seen that, when the variances are much lower for the estimate simultaneously based on sire and dam components as compared to the sire component estimate. When $h^2 = 0.25$, both the estimates showed approximately the same precision. These findings consolidate the findings of Prabhakaran and Jain (1988) and Prabhakaran and Sharma (1995), where they have drawn similar conclusions based on the probability of inadmissible estimates under different full-sib family structures. In the present study we have not considered the case of dam component heritability because past studies (e.g. Prabhakaran and Jain 1988) revealed that the dam component estimates are much less precise and much less reliable in comparison with the sire component and sire + dam components.

In our investigation, we have proceeded with the notion that a full-sib mating design is optimum when its group-size (i.e. the number of offspring per sire) is around $4/h^2$. It is seen that the variance values are higher when the group-size is below the optimum than when it is above. Therefore, in the estimation of heritability of lowly heritable traits it is desirable that the design has family size in the range of 30-40. For a size of 10 will suffice for reliable estimation. A similar view has been expressed also by Prabhakaran and Sharma (1995).

REFERENCES

- Aastveit, A.H. (1990). Use of bootstrapping for estimation of standard deviation and confidence intervals of genetic variance and covariance component. *Biom. J.*, **34**, 515-517.
- Ansari, J., Prabhakaran, V.T. and Rao, A.R. (1999). A bootstrap variance approach to the choice of best mating design for heritability estimation. *Ind. J. Appl. Stat.*, 5, 29-40.
- Efron, B. (1979). Bootstrap methods: Another look at Jackknife. Ann. Statist., 7(1), 1-26.
- Falconer, D.S. (1989). *Introduction to Quantitative Genetics*. The Ronald Press, New York.
- Fisher, R.A. (1950). *Statistical Methods for Research Workers*. 11nth edn., Oliver Boyd, Edinburgh.
- Kennedy, Jr., W.J. and Gentle, J.E. (1980). *Statistical Computing*. Marcel Dekker, New York.
- Osborne, R. and Patterson, W.S.B. (1952). On the sampling variance of heritability estimates derived from variance analysis. *Proc. Roy. Soc.*, Edinb., **B64**, 456-461.
- Prabhakaran, V.T. and Jain, J.P. (1988). Probability of inadmissible estimates of heritability from full-sib analysis under a general model of gene action. *Biom. J.*, **30**, 47-57.
- Prabhakaran, V.T. and Sharma, B.S. (1995). Improved estimation of heritability. *Ind. J. Anim. Genet. Breed.*, **17(1,2)**, 33-37.
- Robertson, A. (1959). Experimental design in the evaluation of genetic parameters. *Biometrics*, **15**, 219-226.
- Sastry, A.V.K. (1956). Estimation of components of variance and heritability with their standard errors. J. Ind. Soc. Agril. Statist., 8, 66-75.

| 0 | | erent sample s | - | Population her | - | | u = uam, am | iu ii = orispri | ng |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Т | (s, d, n) | Var(h ² _s) | | | | Var(h ² _{S + D}) | | | |
| replications | | Delta Bootstrap replications | | | | Delta Bootstrap | | | |
| | | technique | 100 | 200 | 500 | technique | 100 | 200 | 500 |
| 200 | 10,10,2 5,20,2 4,25,2 | 0.02039 0.01952 0.02092 | 0.03166 0.02220 0.02640 | 0.02910 0.01942 0.02532 | 0.02900 0.01932 0.02469 | 0.04066 0.04156 0.04210 | 0.06801 0.05920 0.06278 | 0.06260 0.05390 0.05530 | 0.06130 0.05240 0.05496 |
| 400 | 20,10,2 10,20,2 8,25,2 | 0.00970 0.00870 0.00899 | 0.01733 0.01340 0.01597 | 0.01440 0.01149 0.01271 | 0.04193 0.01147 0.01235 | 0.02021 0.02053 0.02070 | 0.03790 0.03419 0.03503 | 0.03116 0.02873 0.03071 | 0.03103 0.02680 0.02807 |
| 800 | 40,10,2 20,20,2 16,25,2 | 0.00473 0.00413 0.00420 | 0.01139 0.00862 0.00997 | 0.00937 0.00760 0.00853 | 0.00935 0.00744 0.00828 | 0.01008 0.01021 0.01028 | 0.02147 0.01833 0.01943 | 0.01831 0.01679 0.01759 | 0.01790 0.01658 0.01718 |
| 1000 | 50,10,2 25,20,2 20,25,2 | 0.00377 0.00327 0.00332 | 0.10800 0.00768 0.00807 | 0.00875 0.00688 0.00750 | 0.00874 0.00664 0.00728 | 0.00806 0.00816 0.00822 | 0.01862 0.01349 0.01548 | 0.01558 0.01149 0.01273 | 0.01532 0.01059 0.01224 |
| | 20,23,2 | 0.00552 | | Population her | | | 0.010-10 | 0.01275 | 0.01227 |
| T | (s, d, n) | | $Var(h_{c}^2)$ | | | | $Var(h_{S+D}^2)$ | | |
| | | Delta | 5 | | ons | Delta | Bootstrap replications | | s |
| | | technique | | | | technique | | | |
| | | | 100 | 200 | 500 | 1 | 100 | 200 | 500 |
| Around 200 | 20,5,2 12,8,2 10,10,2 | 0.04558 0.04327 0.04196 | 0.06066 0.05207 0.05562 | 0.05643 0.04722 0.05104 | 0.05424 0.04659 0.04920 | 0.04014 0.04284 0.04177 | 0.06480 0.05728 0.05968 | 0.06270 0.05340 0.05677 | 0.06120 0.05317 0.05544 |
| 400 | 40,5,2 25,8,2 20,10,2 | 0.02229 0.01991 0.01994 | 0.03580 0.03142 0.03370 | 0.03394 0.02782 0.03069 | 0.03233 0.02646 0.02950 | 0.01996 0.02038 0.02066 | 0.03634 0.03282 0.03384 | 0.03285 0.02993 0.03034 | 0.03118 0.02838 0.02952 |
| 800 | 80,5,2 50,8,2 40,10,2 | 0.01102 0.00977 0.00973 | 0.01779 0.01595 0.01654 | 0.01675 0.01473 0.01523 | 0.01654 0.01437 0.01508 | 0.00995 0.01015 0.01028 | 0.01598 0.01481 0.01507 | 0.01568 0.01418 0.01461 | 0.01554 0.01385 0.01445 |
| Around 1000 | 96,5,2 60,8,2 | 0.00917 0.00811 0.00808 | 0.01622 0.01491 0.01530 | 0.01548 0.01319 0.01394 | 0.01540 0.01314 0.01388 | 0.00829 0.00845 0.00856 | 0.01521 0.01361 0.01421 | 0.01474 0.01326 0.01375 | 0.01451 0.01304 0.01339 |
| ļ, | | | | Doministi on hor | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | (s, d, n) | | | Population her $or(h^2)$ | 11a01111y = 0.50 | Var(h ² _{S+D}) | | | |
| 1 | (5, 0, 1) | Delta technique | Var(h ² _S) Bootstrap replications | | Delta technique | Bootstrap replications | | | |
| | | | 100 | 200 | 500 | | 100 | 200 | 500 |
| 200 | 50,2,2 25,4,2 20,5,2 | 0.12164 0.07711 0.07498 | 0.09436 0.08558 0.08885 | 0.08893 0.08227 0.08481 | 0.08524 0.08113 0.08328 | 0.03612 0.03773 0.03857 | 0.04922 0.04545 0.04155 | 0.04510 0.04236 0.04091 | 0.04447 0.04060 0.03810 |
| 400 | 100,2,2 50,4,2 40,5,2 | 0.06047 0.03790 0.03665 | 0.05266 0.04470 0.04656 | 0.04727 0.04121 0.04400 | 0.04637 0.03941 0.04344 | 0.01799 0.01875 0.01913 | 0.02972 0.02717 0.02804 | 0.02468 0.02222 0.02276 | 0.02275 0.02200 0.00225 |
| 800 | 200,2,2 100,4,2 80,5,2 | 0.03015 0.01879 0.01813 | 0.03506 0.02589 0.02846 | 0.03021 0.02263 0.02607 | 0.02910 0.02223 0.02562 | 0.00898 0.00934 0.00953 | 0.01452 0.01334 0.01369 | 0.01399 0.01286 0.01320 | 0.01367 0.01267 0.01282 |
| Around 1000 | 240,2,2 120,4,2 <u>96,5,2</u> | 0.02511 0.01564 0.01508 | 0.02908 0.02006 0.02295 | 0.02570 0.01769 0.02038 | 0.02512 0.01766 0.01991 | 0.00748 0.00778 0.00794 | 0.01286 0.01171 0.01233 | 0.01233 0.01120 0.01189 | 0.01218 0.01106 0.01152 |

Table 2. Variances of heritability estimates $(h_s^2 \text{ and } h_{s+D}^2)$ based on delta technique and bootstrap method for different levels of h^2 under different sample sizes (T = s d n) and family structures where s = sire, d = dam, and n = offspring