

Fellow of Indian Society of Agricultural Statistics

Eligibility Criteria and Selection Procedure:

1. Any person who has been a member of the Society continuously for at least ten years shall become eligible to be a Fellow of the Society.
2. Nomination for new fellows in a given year should be proposed by two existing fellows with the concurrence of the nominee and should be sent to the Secretary of the Society latest by January 25 2020. The minimum qualifying marks are 70 for ISAS Fellowship.
3. Nomination for Fellowship shall be made in the prescribed Proforma (Proforma for ISAS Fellow) along with details of his/her most significant academic and professional achievements.
4. A screening committee including suitable outside specialists, if necessary, constituted by the Executive Council will examine the nominations received in a year and recommend suitable names for Fellows for that year. The scoring criterion is given in the next section.
5. The Executive Council will, in the light of the recommendation of the screening committee, propose not more than two names in a given year for Fellowship to the General Body for approval.
6. The number of Fellowships at no time should exceed five per cent of the number of members (life and ordinary) who have been members continuously for at least ten years.
7. After the approval of the General Body, the Fellows will be given a certificate and their names would be announced in the Journal of the Society.
8. The Fellows can use the letters F.I.S.A.S. after their names.

Criteria of weightage for ISAS Fellows

1. Research Publications: (Maximum 50 marks)

a. Evaluation of 20 best publications (Maximum 40 marks)

(The papers will be scored as per List of Journals identified by the Latest available NAAS List and their assigned weightage as available on NAAS web site <http://www.naasindia.org> and then the total score obtained would be divided by 10)

b. For evaluation of publications other than those given in (a) above 25 best in International and National Journals of Repute, the publications should be arranged in the following two categories

(i) *Publications in journals having NAAS rating 5 or more than 5*:- 0.2 mark for each publication upto a maximum of 25 publications. (Maximum 5 marks)

(ii) Publications in journals having NAAS rating weightage less than 5:- 0.1 mark for each publication upto a maximum of 50 publications including those left over from (i) above.

(Maximum 5 marks)

* If the number of such publications in category (i) exceeds 25, then these could be evaluated along with those in (ii) with 0.1 mark for each publication.

2. Process and Concept Development (Maximum 30 marks)

Group I

(Maximum 10 marks)

(a) Concept: Such as propounding a new theory or describe pathways and mechanisms of a process

(b) Process : Development and description of steps/components of a procedure which explain the total method of analysis

Group II

(Maximum 10 marks)

(c) Technique : Development of a new technique

(d) Technologies : Research findings that become useable among researchers for conduct of experiments/ surveys, analysis of various data sets

Group III

(Maximum 10 marks)

(e) Others: Books, only authored books with at least 100 pages published by reputed publishers.

Special reports, scientific leadership positions in national and international institutions and association with national/international professional societies such as office bearers etc.

3. Awards and Honours

(Maximum 10 marks)

4. Weightage for Innovative Teaching

(Maximum 10 marks)

Innovative Teaching implies contributions towards Teaching and Curriculum Development Methods. The contribution of teaching/education may be assessed from the awards/prizes won on teaching such as Best Teacher Award etc. and number of M.Sc./ Ph.D. students guided. Regarding Curriculum Development Methods, the evaluation may be done on the basis of development of newer teaching techniques and preparation of teaching manual/materials. This also includes the development of practical exercises based on real life situations with description of the output generated along with the detailed interpretation or inferences drawn from the results obtained.

*Executive Council Members during their tenure will not be considered for any of the ISAS Awards. Further, none of the Executive Council Members will recommend anybody for award and also will not be member of any committee for such awards.